

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/427,600 | BETTS ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Nadia Khoshnoodi | 2133 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed case

(1) Nadia Khoshnoodi.

(3) _____.

(2) Karen Hazzah.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 April 2005

Time: 12:30 pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

54

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Original claim 54 (renumbered 22 for issue) ends in a semicolon. Attorney concurred that the semi-colon is a typo and it should be replaced with a period. Attorney authorized Examiner to do an examiner's amendment in order to change ";" to ".".

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Nadia Khoshnoodi

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)